



Effect of Iron, Zinc and Boron on quality parameters onion (*Allium Cepa*L.) cv. Agrifound Light Red

S. G. More^{1*}, L. R. Varma², H. N. Joshi³, Piyush Verma⁴, J. R. Vadodariya⁵ and D. M. Thakur⁶

A. Ph. D. Scholar*, College of Horticulture, S.D. Agricultural University, Jagudan-Gujarat

B. Principal and Dean, College of Horticulture, S.D. Agricultural University, Jagudan- Gujarat

C. Ph. D. Scholar, College of Horticulture, S.D. Agricultural University, Jagudan-Gujarat

D. Professor and Head of Department, C. P. College of Agriculture,

S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar-Gujarat

E. Head of Department Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture,

S.D. Agricultural University, Jagudan-Gujarat

F. Assistant Professor, College of Horticulture, S.D. Agricultural University, Jagudan-Gujarat

*author's for correspondence e-mail: sandeepmorehorticos@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to examine the effect of different micronutrient viz., iron, zinc and boron in a factorial randomized block design with three replications during the rabi season of the year 2013 and 2014. The results revealed that, the significantly maximum diameter of bulb (6.31 cm, 6.17 and 6.24 cm), (6.67 cm, 6.58 cm and 6.63 cm), total soluble solid (11.94^oBrix, 11.09^oBrix and 11.52^oBrix), (11.77^oBrix, 11.04^oBrix and 11.41^oBrix), protein content (0.85 %, 1.06 % and 0.95 %), (0.87 %, 1.03 % and 0.95 %) and significantly minimum neck thickness after curing (0.23 cm, 0.22 cm and 0.23 cm), (0.24 cm, 0.23 cm, 0.23 cm) were recorded with i_1 and z_1 during the year 2013-14, 2014-15 and pooled data respectively. In case of boron it was found significantly maximum diameter of bulb (6.17 cm, 5.82 cm and 5.99 cm) and significantly minimum neck thickness after curing (0.24 cm, 0.23 cm, 0.23 cm) were recorded with b_2 during the year 2013-14, 2014-15 and pooled data respectively. Whereas, significantly maximum total soluble solid (11.92^oBrix, 11.00^oBrix, 11.46^oBrix) and protein content (0.77 %, 0.89 %, 0.83 %) was recorded in 2013-14 and b_2 during the year 2014-15 and pooled data.

Key words: Onion, Quality, Iron, Zinc, Boron

Received 28.07.2017

Revised 04.08.2017

Accepted 26.08.2017

INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is one of the most important vegetable bulbs crops grown in India from ancient time. The edible portion is a modified leaves which is known as "bulb" and develops underground. Onion is popularly used as green as well as mature bulb. It is widely used as a cooked vegetable in soups, mix vegetable and flavouring agent in many additional dishes. Because of its importance in cookery, onion is called as "Queen of the kitchen" (Selvaraj, 1976). It can be kept for a fairly long period and can safely withstand the hazards of rough handling including long distance transportation.

Onion also possesses nutritional and medicinal importance. The outstanding characteristic of onion is the pungency, which is due to volatile oil known as Allyl- propyl- disulphide, which is sulphur rich compound. It acts as gastric stimulant and promotes digestion (Yawalkar, 2004). It contains 86.6 per cent Moisture, 40 kcal Calories, 1.10 g Protein, 9.34 mg Carbohydrates, 23 mg Calcium, 2 I.U Vitamins A, 7.4 mg Vitamins C, 0.027 mg Riboflavin, 0.0116 mg Niacin per 100 g of fresh edible portion. It is used as a remedy for various diseases like dysentery, convulsions, headaches, hysterical fits, rheumatic pain, malaria, fever and as a fine demulcent to give relief in piles (Sharma, 2014).

Onion is a seasonal crop and has comparatively low storage ability and bulbs are usually stored until the harvest of next season crop or for longer period due to seasonal glut in the market. The post-harvest losses, viz., sprouting, rotting and physiological loss in weight is a great problem. Annual storage losses of onion is more than 40 to 60 per cent in Indian condition (Bhagachandani *et al.* 1980). Storage methods have their own impact on post-harvest life and keeping quality of onion. Storage temperature and relative

humidity have been found to be correlated with sprouting, rotting and physiological loss in weight and these are further correlated with storage periods.

Indian soil have been chronically poor in nitrogen, phosphorus, iron, zinc, boron, magnesium and sulphur and due to continuous cropping, multiple nutrient deficiencies have been noticed. Zinc deficiency in onion is fairly wide spread and is noticed also in garlic in the sandy soils. Deficiency of iron in onion, garlic, brinjal, tomato and potato is also noticed in sandy soils. Boron deficiency is also noticed in tomato, carrot, onion, garlic and radish in sandy and loamy sand soil in Gujarat and Rajasthan region.

Micronutrients can be restored, maintained and sustained by three procedures *e.g.* addition of organic residues from plant and animal sources, strengthening the soil biological process and use of synthetic micronutrients and soil amendments as per needs. The availability of the essential micronutrients to plants is often poorly related to the total quantity of the particular element in the soil. Iron and zinc are the most abundant metal to be found in living organisms, where it plays a major structural, catalytic and co-catalytic role in enzymes.

To sustain soil health and benign environment there is a need for standardization the conjunctive use of micronutrient in soil application in order to increase the productivity and alternately improving the soil health. The concept of soil application of micronutrient is gaining considerable momentum today but negligible study has been conducted so, the present investigation was planned on onion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiments were conducted during rabi seasons of 2013 and 2014 at Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar. Sardarkrushinagar is located at a distance of about 170 km from Ahmedabad and geographically, situated on 24° 19' North latitude and 72° 19' East longitude at an altitude of 154.32 meters above mean sea level. It represents the North Gujarat Agro-climatic zone (AES-IV) of Gujarat. The average rainfall of Sardarkrushinagar is 688.42 mm received in about 28 rainy days during last 15 years. The winter season starts from the end of October and continues till the end of February. The minimum temperature of the year is reached during middle December to middle January. The temperature starts rising from February and reaches maximum in the month of May. April and May are the hottest months of the summer season.

The experiment was laid out in a Factorial Randomized Block Design were employed and replicated thrice. The detail of experimental soil is loamy sand with pH 7.6, Electrical conductivity (0.16dSm^{-1}), Organic carbon (0.21%), Available N (173.76Kg ha^{-1}), Available P_2O_5 (35.76Kg ha^{-1}), Available K_2O (187Kg ha^{-1}), initial status of Fe (1.163mg/kg), Zn (0.254 mg/kg), B (0.474 mg/kg). Seeds of onion variety Agrifound light red were collected from the NHRDF, Nasik for conducting the present study. Nursery was prepared by using standard techniques and Healthy seedlings of 45 days' old were transplanted in the main field after removing upper one third portions of leaves to reduce the loss of water through transpiration. The experiments were conducted during Rabi season. It was laid out in a factorial randomized block design with three replications. There were altogether 27 treatment combinations with *viz.*, Iron (0, 20 and 40 kg/ha), Zinc (0, 25 and 50 kg/ha) and Boron (0, 2.5 and 5.0 kg/ha) from different source of FeSO_4 , ZnSO_4 and borax were applied as soil application.

The crop was fertilized with recommended doses of 100:50:50 NPK/ha for onion. The 20% N was added as basal and remaining 80% in four equal splits at an interval of 30, 45, 60 and 75 days after transplanting. Proper care for weeding, intercultural operations, and plant protection measures were taken from transplanting to harvesting. Observations on bulb quality parameters *viz.* diameter of bulb and neck thickness after curing of onion bulbs was measured with the help of vernier caliper and average was calculated. The Total Soluble Solids ($^{\circ}$ Brix) estimated ten onion bulbs were cut into small pieces and mixed thoroughly to make a homogeneous extract. Then readings were taken with the help of hand refractometer (Erma, Japan). The mean TSS value was worked out. Protein content in the bulb after curing (%) was estimated by calculating treatmentwise total nitrogen percentage it was estimated by using micro-Kjeldahl's method as per procedure suggested by AOAC (1995). The nitrogen percentage was calculated by multiplying the nitrogen content by factor 6.25 to obtain protein content. The observations were made from the selected tagged 10 plants for each treatment. Statistical analysis was done by using standard techniques (Panse and Sukhatme, 1995).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data pertaining to diameter of bulb as influenced by various levels of iron, zinc and boron are summarized in Table.

1. Effect of iron, zinc and boron on diameter of bulb (cm)

The effects of various levels of iron on diameter of bulb were found significant during both the years of experiment and in pooled data. Significantly maximum diameter of bulb (6.31 cm, 6.17 cm and 6.24 cm

during the year 2013-14, 2014-15 and in pooled data respectively) was noted with treatment i_1 . The minimum diameter of bulb (5.87 cm, 5.30 cm and 5.58 cm) was observed with treatment i_2 during the year 2013-14, 2014-15 and in pooled data. Soil application of iron which would have enhanced photosynthesis and other metabolic activities which leads to increase in cell division and cell elongation and ultimately increase in size of bulb and bulb diameter. This result are in agreement with the results reported by Ballabhet *al.* (2012), Durgudeet *al.* (2013), Rizket *al.* (2014), Singh *et al.* (2015^a) and Singh *et al.* (2015^b) in onion.

The effect of different levels of zinc on diameter of bulb was found significant during both the years of experimentation and in pooled data. Significantly maximum diameter of bulb (6.67 cm, 6.58 cm and 6.63 cm) was recorded with treatment z_1 during the year 2013-14, 2014-15 and in pooled data respectively. In pooled result treatment z_1 was found statistically at par with treatment z_0 . The minimum diameter of bulb (5.25 cm, 4.61 cm and 4.93 cm) during the year 2013-14, 2014-15 and in pooled data respectively) was observed with treatment z_2 .

The application of zinc might have enhanced the photosynthesis and other metabolic activities, which led increase in cell division and cell elongation. These findings are in close accordance with the findings of Shrinathet *al.* (2007), Tohamy, *et al.* (2009), Abedinet *al.* (2012), Ballabhet *al.* (2012), Ballabhet *al.* (2013), Manna *et al.* (2014), Trivedi and Dhumal. (2013), Vermaet *al.* (2014), Acharyaet *al.* (2015), Assefaet *al.* (2015), Begum *et al.* (2015), Singh *et al.* (2015^a) and Shuklaet *al.* (2015) in onion.

Influences of different levels of boron on diameter of bulb were found significant during both the years and in pooled data. Significantly maximum diameter of bulb (6.17 cm, 5.82 cm and 5.99 cm) was observed with treatment b_2 during the year 2013-14, 2014-15 and in pooled data respectively which was statistically at par with treatment b_1 . The minimum diameter of bulb (5.89 cm, 5.50 cm and 5.70 cm) was observed with treatment b_0 during the year 2013-14, 2014-15 and in pooled data respectively. This may be due to the boron application which enhances the enzyme activity which in turn triggers the physiological processes like carbohydrate metabolism in plant. Similar results were reported by Paulet *al.* (2007), Abedinet *al.* (2012), Manna *et al.* (2014), Acharyaet *al.* (2015), and Singh *et al.* (2015^a) in onion. The interaction effect between iron, zinc and boron could not produced significant variation on diameter of bulb during both the years of experimentation and in pooled analysis.

2. Effect of iron, zinc and boron on neck thickness after curing (cm)

The effects of various levels of iron on neck thickness were found non significant during both the years of experiment and was significant in pooled data. Significantly minimum neck thickness (0.23 cm) was observed with treatment i_1 in pooled data while maximum neck thickness (0.26 cm) was found under treatment i_2 in pooled data.

The effect of different levels of zinc treatments on neck thickness after curing were found non significant during both year of experimentation and in pooled data.

Influences of different levels of boron on neck thickness after curing were found non significant during both the years of experimentation and found significant in pooled data. Significantly minimum neck thickness after curing (0.24 cm) was observed with treatment b_1 and b_2 in pooled data. The maximum neck thickness after curing (0.27 cm) was observed with treatment b_0 in pooled data.

The interaction effects between iron, zinc and boron on neck thickness after curing were found non significant during both the years of experimentation and in pooled data.

3. Effect of iron, zinc and boron on total soluble solid (⁰Brix)

The influences of different levels of iron with respect to total soluble solid (⁰Brix) were found non significant during both the years of experiment and found significant in pooled data. On pooled basis, significantly maximum TSS (11.52⁰ Brix) was recorded with treatment i_1 . It was statistically at par with treatment i_0 . The minimum TSS (11.32⁰ Brix) was observed under treatment i_2 in pooled data. These findings are in close accordance with the findings of Ballabhet *al.* (2012), Durgudeet *al.* (2013), Singh *et al.* (2015^a) and Singh *et al.* (2015^b) in onion

The effect of different levels of zinc treatments on TSS (⁰Brix) was found non significant during both the years of experimentation and in pooled data.

Influences of different levels of boron on TSS (⁰Brix) found non significant during both the years of experimentation though it was found significant in pooled data. Significantly higher TSS (11.47⁰ Brix) was recorded with treatment b_2 , which was statistically at par with treatment b_1 . Minimum TSS (11.31⁰ Brix) was observed with treatment b_0 in pooled data. These findings are in close accordance with the findings of Abedinet *al.* (2012), Manna *et al.* (2014), Acharyaet *al.* (2015) and Singh *et al.* (2015^a) in onion.

The interaction effect between iron and zinc with respect to TSS (⁰Brix) was found significant in pooled data. Significantly maximum TSS was obtained with treatment combination i_1z_1 in pooled data. The interaction effect between iron, zinc and boron were found significant in pooled data. The maximum TSS content was observed with higher order interaction of treatment combinations $i_1z_0b_2$.

4.Effect of iron, zinc and boron on protein content (%)

The Influences of different levelsof iron, zinc, boron and its different combinations were unable to exert significant influence on protein content during both years of experimentation and pooled analysis.

REFERENCES

1. Abedin, Md. J.; Nurul.Alam, Md. N.; Hossain, Md. J.; Ara, N.A. and Haque, K. Md. F. (2012). Effect of micronutrients on growth and yield of onion under calcareous soil environment.*International Journal of Biosciences*.**2** (8): 95-101.
2. Acharya U.; Sharma, N.; Venkatesan, K.; Saraswathi, T.; Subramanian, K.S. (2015).Response on growth, yield and quality parameters of multiplier onion (*Allium cepa* L. var. aggregatum don.) var. Co (on)-5 with different doses and method of zinc and boron application. *International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research*.**6** (4):59-67.
3. Assefa, A.G.; Mesgina, S.H. and Abrha, Y. W. (2015).Response of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) growth and yield to different combinations of N, P, S, Zn fertilizers and compost in Northern Ethiopia.*International Journal of Science and Research*.**4** (2): 985-989.
4. AOAC (1995).*Official methods of analysis*.16th Edition Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC.
5. Ballabh, K. and Rana, D. K (2012).Response of micronutrients on qualitative and quantitative parameters of onion (*Allium cepa*L.)*Progressive Horticulture*.**44** (1): 40-46.
6. Ballabh, K.; Rana, D. K. and Rawat, S. S. (2013).Effects of foliar application of micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of onion.*Indian Journal Horticulture*.**70** (2): 260-265.
7. Begum, R.; Jahiruddin, M.; Kader, M.A.; Haque, M.A. and Hoque, A.B.M.A. (2015).Effects of zinc and boron application on onion and their residual effects on Mungbean.*Progressive Agriculture*.**26** : 90-96.
8. Bhagachandani, P. M.; Pal, N.; Singh, N. and Chaudhary, B. (1980).White onion for dehydration.*Indian Horticulture*.**24** (4): 7-9.
9. Durgude, A.G.; Palwe, C.R.; Sawale, D.D. and Kadam, S.R. (2013).Response of onion to soil and foliar application of iron on entisols.*The Asian Journal of Horticulture*.**8** (2): 754-757.
10. Manna, D.; Maity, T. K. and Ghosal, A. (2014). Influence of foliar application of boron and zinc on growth, yield and bulb quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Journal of Crop and Weed*.**10** (1): 53-55.
11. Paul, J.K.; Halder, B.C. and Khan, M.A. (2007).Effects of boron and sulphur on the growth and yield of onion.*Journal science Technology*.**5** : 60-66.
12. Panse, V. G. and P. V. Sukhatme.(1995). Statistical methods for agricultural workers.*Indian edition*, Hans Publishers, Bombay.
13. Rizk, F.A.; Shaheen, A.M.; Samad, E.H. and Labban.T.T. (2014). Response of onion plants to organic fertilizer and foliar spraying of some micro-nutrients under sandy soil conditions. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*.**10** (5): 383-392.
14. Selvaraj, S. (1976).Onion Queen of Kitchen.Kishan Word. **3** (12): 32-34.
15. Sharma, A. (2014). Market survey, G.B.P.U.A & T., Pantnagar, Uttarakhand.www.ffymag.com.
16. Shrinath, B.M.; Jagatap, B.M. and Wattamwar, M.J. (2007).Effect of foliar application of calcium and zinc on biochemical properties and keeping quality of onion bulb.*Annals Plant Physiology*.**21** (1): 114-118.
17. Shukla, L.; Bose, U.S. and Ahirwar, M.K. (2015). Effect of foliar feeding of micronutrients on growth, yield and income from *rabi* onion var. Agrifound light red.*Annals of Plant and Soil Research*.**17** (3): 307-310.
18. Singh, P.; Sengupta, S.K.; Jain, P.K. and Verma, B.K.(2015^a). Effect of micronutrients and biofertilizer application on growth and yield contributing characters in onion.*Jawaharlal Nehru KrishiVishwaVidyalaya Journal*.**49** (2): 193-199
19. Singh, P.; Sengupta, S.K.; Verma, B.K.and Jain, P.K. (2015^b). Effect of micronutrients complex and biofertilizer application on growth and yield contributing characters in onion.*Jawaharlal Nehru KrishiVishwaVidyalaya Journal*.**49** (2): 200-204.
20. Tohamy, W.A.; Khalid, A.K.; El-Abagy, H.M. and Abou-Hussein, S.D. (2009).Essential oil, growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa*L.) in response to foliar application of some micronutrients.*Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*.**3** (1): 201-205.
21. Trivedi, A.P. and Dhumal, K.N. (2013).Effect of soil and foliar applications of zinc and iron on the yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa*L.).*Bangladesh Journal Agricultural research*.**38** (1): 41-48.
22. Verma, N.; Collis, J. P. and Pankaj Kumar.(2014). Response of zinc and sulphur on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.).*Annals of Horticulture*.**7** (2): 135-138.
23. Yawalkar, K. S. and Har, R. H. (2004). "Vegetable crops of India". Agro Horticultural publishing House, Nagpur. pp-250.

Table 1: Effect of iron, zinc and boron on quality parameters of onion during the two successive rabi seasons. (2013-14 and 2014-15)

Treatments	Diameter of bulb (cm)			Neck thickness after curing (cm)			TSS (°Brix)			Protein content after curing (%)		
	Year 2013-14	Year 2014-15	Pooled	Year 2013-14	Year 2014-15	Pooled	Year 2013-14	Year 2014-15	Pooled	Year 2013-14	Year 2014-15	Pooled
Iron (I)												
i ₀	6.01	5.67	5.84	0.25	0.24	0.24	11.87	10.96	11.41	0.72	0.83	0.78
i ₁	6.31	6.17	6.24	0.23	0.22	0.23	11.94	11.09	11.52	0.85	1.06	0.95
i ₂	5.87	5.30	5.58	0.27	0.24	0.26	11.78	10.87	11.32	0.56	0.85	0.70
S.Em.±	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.08	0.06
C.D. at 5%	0.24	0.28	0.18	NS	NS	0.01	NS	NS	0.12	NS	NS	NS
Zinc (Z)												
z ₀	6.27	5.96	6.12	0.24	0.23	0.24	11.85	11.03	11.37	0.66	0.94	0.80
z ₁	6.67	6.58	6.63	0.24	0.23	0.23	11.77	11.04	11.41	0.87	1.03	0.95
z ₂	5.25	4.61	4.93	0.26	0.28	0.27	11.95	10.85	11.40	0.60	0.77	0.68
S.Em.±	0.08	0.10	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.06
C.D. at 5%	0.24	0.28	0.82	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Boron (B)												
b ₀	5.89	5.50	5.70	0.28	0.26	0.27	11.75	10.89	11.31	0.64	0.80	0.72
b ₁	6.13	5.82	5.98	0.24	0.23	0.23	11.92	11.00	11.46	0.77	0.89	0.83
b ₂	6.17	5.82	5.99	0.24	0.23	0.23	11.91	11.04	11.47	0.72	1.04	0.88
S.Em.±	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.08	0.06
C.D. at 5%	0.24	0.28	0.18	NS	NS	0.01	NS	NS	0.12	NS	NS	NS
Interaction effect												
I x Z	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	NS
I x B	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Z x B	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
I x Z x B	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	NS
CV %	7.14	8.91	8.02	11.11	13.10	12.11	2.48	3.17	2.82	9.09	8.66	8.87

CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE

S. G. More, L. R. Varma, H. N. Joshi, Piyush Verma, J. R. Vadodariya and D. M. Thakur: Effect of Iron, Zinc and Boron on quality parameters onion (*Allium Cepa*L.) cv. Agrifound Light Red . Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 6 Special issue [3] 2017: 553-557